







Innovative and Fair Sources of Finance for a New Loss and Damage Funding Arrangement

Alana M. Carlson with Olivia Hanks

Quakers uphold the need for urgent, real, transformative, rights-based, and ethical climate policies to protect the planet and all species on it. In recognition of the irreversible losses and damages already, and that will be, suffered by communities, worldwide, we offer this paper in the spirit of constructive discussion about possible sources of finance for Loss and Damage.

The Quaker UN Offices in Geneva and New York are marking 75 years of supporting peace and justice concerns at the United Nations. Our work is often done behind the scenes to facilitate constructive outcomes to negotiations, such as the development of the Human Rights Council (2000s) and the Landmine Ban Treaty (1990s). In UN environmental processes we have supported negotiations on the Convention on Desertification, the Convention on Biological Diversity, the 1992 Earth Summit preparations, and the 2015 Paris Agreement. QUNO has also chaired the NGO Committee for the 1972 UN Conference on the Human Environment.

Quaker United Nations Office

13 Avenue du Mervelet 1209 Geneva Switzerland Tel: +41 22 748 4800 Fax: +41 22 748 4819

> <u>quno@quno.ch</u> <u>www.quno.org</u>

Supporting people most affected but least responsible for climate change is a moral call to action. The Quaker United Nations Office, British Quakers and Faith for the Climate UK welcome the historic decision at the COP27 to adopt the *Funding arrangements for responding to loss and damage associated with the adverse effects of climate change, including a focus on addressing loss and damage.*This briefing paper offers ideas for new, additional and innovative financial sources for loss and damage that combine a moral call with an ethically grounded response. Based on the Polluter Pays principle and grounded in findings of the IPCC Synthesis Report (2023), these options speak to citizens' calls for urgency, fairness, integrity and truth to address an increasingly inequitable experience of rising global temperatures driven by human activities.

Introduction

The new Loss and Damage (L&D) Funding Arrangement acknowledges "the urgent and immediate need for new, additional, predictable and adequate financial resources to assist developing countries that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in responding to economic and non-economic loss and damage associated with the adverse effects of climate change, including extreme weather events and slow onset events." This is significant for outlining the principles for how L&D should be understood and addressed as well as for providing a preliminary definition of L&D, one which includes both tangible and intangible losses and damages. It also establishes, through the words "new" and "additional," that L&D must be financially addressed in addition to mitigation and adaptation. Funding to address L&D is essential if climate-vulnerable countries are to plan, respond, and rebuild.

As authors writing from countries with the greatest historical responsibility for greenhouse gas emissions, we recognize our moral duty to act. Worldwide, people of faith are increasingly calling for action on L&D because it is what our common humanity demands of us.

Fossil Fuel Tax/Climate Damages Tax (FFT/CDT)

"Public and private finance flows for fossil fuels are still greater than those for climate adaptation and mitigation." (IPCC AR6 SYR)²

A FFT/CDT is a charge on the extraction of all fossil fuels (FFs) calculated at a consistent rate globally based on how much CO² equivalent is embedded within the fuel.³ Fossil fuel CO² emissions represented 2/3s of total global greenhouse gas emissions in 2020, while between 2020 and 2021, CO² emissions from FFs grew by 5%.⁴ Continued, let alone increased use, of FFs jeopardizes chances for a safer limit on global temperature rise and greatly intensifies L&D. The UN Secretary -General, António Guterres, has called "on all developed economies to tax the windfall profits of fossil fuel companies" and to direct the funds "to countries suffering loss and damage caused by the climate crisis.⁵ In 2021, the top twenty-five oil and gas companies earned \$205 billion in profits.⁶ A CDT, rather than only a windfall tax, could provide an ongoing source of finance for L&D during the transition away from fossil fuels.

Fulfilling financial responsibilities

In the Paris Agreement, developed countries have committed to providing USD 100 billion per year by 2020 for mitigation and adaptation.⁷ To date, we remain below the collective goal.⁸ It is imperative that developed countries fulfill their responsibility to existing climate finance commitments while procuring additional funds to address loss and damage. Fulfillment is critical to trust in the process, and to ensure new funding for L&D is not at the expense of promised past funding.

Redirecting Fossil Fuel (FF) Subsidies

"Fossil fuel subsidy removal is projected by various studies to reduce global CO₂ emissions by 1-4% and GHG emissions by up to 10% by 2030, varying across regions."

(IPCC AR6 SYR)

As part of a two-year rise in spending, in 2021, more than \$525 billion was explicitly spent by states on fossil fuel subsidies.¹⁰ In 2020, the IMF reported that explicit and implicit FF subsidies globally totaled \$5.9 trillion.¹¹ Economists widely oppose energy subsidies because of their high fiscal costs, distortionary impacts on resource utilization, and tendency to further entrench income inequality. 12 Energy subsidy reform can be a highly costeffective means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.¹³ Continued subsidization of FFs is "a roadblock to a more sustainable future."14 With high confidence, the IPCC has found that fossil fuel subsidies would reduce "removing emissions, improve public revenue, and macroeconomic performance, and yield other environmental and sustainable development benefits."15 Removal of FF subsidies can reduce emissions as much as 6.4% by 2025 compared to business as usual.¹⁶ Removal of FF subsidies is in line with a human rights based approach given that the negative impacts of FF extraction and use are most acutely felt by the most vulnerable communities while the wealthiest receive the most monetary benefits.¹⁷ Finance spent on FF subsidies could be shifted to supporting those experiencing L&D, thereby providing significant funding while reducing GHG emissions.

Debt Relief/Cancellation for Least Developed Countries

"Adverse climate impacts can reduce the availability of financial resources by incurring losses and damages and through impeding national economic growth..."

(IPCC AR6 SYR)¹⁸

Debt cancellation is an immediate help for vulnerable, developing countries already struggling to source and free up financial resources for L&D. It should be explored in conjunction to new and additional finance for L&D. Many Global South (GS) countries are curtailed from responding to mounting climate costs as every year they must commit substantial sums of government reserves to pay creditors every year. The IMF estimates that 41 countries in the GS are currently unable or at high risk of failing to pay their debts. 19 108 of the 116 GS countries increased their public debt during the COVID-19 pandemic and half are now currently in a debt crisis.²⁰ Immediate, austerity free debt alleviation would allow vulnerable GS countries to free up domestic funds to be used for addressing L&D and to mitigate against future losses. Positive examples of debt for climate and nature swaps are also being explored. Additional benefits from debt alleviation include enhanced debt sustainability and stronger GS economies.²¹ While this is one way for the Global North to begin to meet its moral obligations to those most affected by the climate crisis, debt alleviation alone is not sufficient, and must be considered alongside other forms of finance for loss and damage.

International Air Travel Adaptation Levy (IATAL)

"Emissions reduction aspirations in international aviation and shipping are lower than in many other sectors."
(IPCC AR6 WGIII SPM)²²

A modest fee on all international air passengers of \$5-25 (depending on class of travel) has the potential to raise \$10 – 100 billion annually.^{23 24} By 2040, international air travel is forecast to annually increase by 5.1-5.6%.^{25 26} As air travel continues to increase, so will its GHG emissions. By 2050, up to 1747.2 Mt of C CO² will be emitted annually by flights, of which +70% will be international.²⁷ It is both ethical and practical to consider an IATAL as one means of redressing the negative environmental impacts this mode of travel has, while supporting people most affected by its emissions. International air travel is a relatively inelastic industry and

Guiding Principles for Funding L&D

New and Additional: Funding for L&D should be in addition to funding for mitigation and adaptation. Funds taken from new, or freed up, sources of finance are preferable to funds that would have been otherwise used to address a different aspect of the climate crisis. It is imperative that countries continue to fund mitigation and adaptation efforts.

Polluter Pays: Is understood as those who have contributed the most to the climate crisis through historical and ongoing GHG emissions have the greatest responsibility to pay for L&D.

Needs based: In addition to the above outlined principles, access to L&D to funding should be granted based off of need. Losses and damages from the climate crisis are occurring worldwide but the ability to respond to them varies across regions. L&D funding should be supplied according to need.

Grants Based: Funds given to address L&D need to be public grants rather than loans to avoid greater debt.

numerous studies have found that LDCs and SIDS that have large tourism sectors would not see a reduction in visitors because of such a small fee.²⁸ ^{29 30} Universal application of this levy would mean airline competitiveness is not impacted while L&D is funded in an effective, efficient and equitable" manner.

Financial Transaction Tax (FFT)

"Individuals with high socio-economic status contribute disproportionately to emissions, and have the highest potential for emissions reductions."

(IPCC AR6 SYR)³¹

Similar to a Tobin or Robinhood tax, a FFT is a small levy place on monetary transactions or trades of financial instruments such as bonds, stocks, options, and foreign currencies. The UN High Level Advisory Group on Climate Change Financing identified a FTT as "a new and addition-

al source which could raise significant revenues."32 Present financial markets are characterized by excessive price volatility, in part, due to speculative trading which contributes to artificially high fossil fuel prices and discourages short-term investment in renewable energy.³³ A FTT of 0.1% has the potential to stabilize prices, thereby reducing the global incidence of financial crashes by 5% and increase long term investment returns by 0.05% above the tax.³⁴ Additional benefits of a general FTT are: it does not discriminate against specific types of markets; it is an activity rather than place-based tax which addresses modern tax payer residence identification concerns; and its enormous tax base means a very low rate would have considerable receipts.³⁵ A portion of the revenues of a FTT could then be directed towards L&D.

Special Drawing Rights (SDRs)

"Vulnerable communities who have historically contributed the least to current climate change are disproportionately affected." (IPCC AR6 SYR)³⁶

Maintained by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), SDRs are units of account which can increase a country's reserves. The IMF allocates SDRs based on a member's quotas, therefore high-income countries proportionally receive the most.³⁷ In 2021, the IMF allocated \$650 billion equivalent in SDRs, of which \$275 billion went to emerging markets and just \$21 billion was received by low-income countries.³⁸ High-income

countries which have historically contributed the most to the climate crisis could channel their SDR allocations to low-income members. SDRs can be exchanged for currency which can then be directly channeled into loss and damage finance.³⁹ Last year the G7 "encourage[d] the IMF to work quickly with all relevant countries to explore a menu of options for channeling SDRs to... enable greener, more robust, recoveries in the most affected countries, supporting the poorest and most vulnerable countries in tackling these urgent challenges." 40

Shifting Military Budgets to Support Loss and Damage Needs

"Moderate reductions in military spending ... could free up considerable resources for the SDG agenda, both in the countries that reduce spending and in the form of ODA [overseas direct assistance]." (IPCC WG3 Full Report)⁴¹

Finance for L&D could be raised through shifting spending on away from weapons that kill, and into transformative climate action, and financial support to stabilize and rebuild communities devastated by climate change. In 2021, world military expenditure surpassed \$2 trillion for the first time⁴² while all global public climate finance (of which only \$17.9 billion was grants) was an estimated \$83.3 billion in 2020.⁴³ States can reduce their overall military spending and shift funds to help build real security and citizen safety through funding mitigation, adaptation, and L&D. UN Secretary-General António

Intangible and Tangible L&D

Tangible L&D: losses and damages that have quan- E.g: loss of property and livelihood, cost of medical tifiable monetary valuable associated with them. Tangible L&D many also be called economic L&D.

Intangible L&D: also called non-economic L&D, intangible L&D describes climate impacts which extend beyond direct economic connections.

treatments, changes in labor and agricultural productivity, displacement related costs, etc.

E.g: psychological and/or mental health impacts, loss of ecosystems, loss oof identity and security, loss of culture (places, artifacts, and language), etc.

Note: both intangible and intangible L&D result from sudden and slow-onset events.

Guterras told the UN Security Council that climate change is a "crisis multiplier," noting that the greatest impacts are where "fragility and conflict have weakened coping mechanisms." With high confidence, IPCC findings show that regions and people experience higher levels of vulnerability to climatic hazards when violent conflict is present. Shifting military funds to L&D is critical for sustaining peace, redressing the environmental harm of conflicts, and funding urgent, transformative action to avoid catastrophic temperature rise.

Connecting Wealth Taxes to the Climate

"The 10% of households with the highest per capita emissions contribute 34-45% of global consumption-based household GHG emissions, while the bottom 50%c contribute 13-15%."

(IPCC AR6 SYR)⁴⁶

Increased progressive taxation on the wealthiest earners would provide significant funds to address inequity and support L&D. As stated by Oxfam, "general wealth taxes and other taxes on the rich are effectively green taxation as they reduce the huge consumption of carbon by the richest" and allow funds to be directed towards addressing the climate crisis.⁴⁷ Taxing extreme wealth reduces not only wealth inequality but also ongoing racial, gender, and colonial inequalities, all of which are inextricably linked to the climate crisis.⁴⁸ The wealthiest 1% generate more emissions than the whole bottom half of humanity. 49 50 Billionaires on average emit a million times more carbon than the average person.⁵¹ Responsibility for emissions is not only about consumption of goods and services that produce carbon but also tied to individual investments in carbon-intensive activities. Billionaires are twice as likely, compared to the average investor, to invest in polluting industries like fossil fuels and cement.⁵² Since 1980, the average tax rate on the wealthiest has fallen across OECD countries and the wealthiest individuals are subject to real rates of taxation that are often in the single digits.⁵³ States, both within and without the OECD, can introduce so called "wealth taxes" on hyper-rich individuals and direct funds towards addressing the climate crisis. Progressive wealth

states can consider implementing and/or augmenting include taxation from personal income, capital gains, unrealized capital gains, property tax, inheritance, and net wealth. A tax of up to 5% on the world's multi-millionaires and billionaires could raise 1.7 trillion USD a year.⁵⁴

Works Cited

- "Draft decision -/CP.27, -/CMA.4: Funding arrangements for responding to loss and damage associated with the adverse effects of climate change, including a focus on addressing loss and damage." United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. 19 November 2022. https:// unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/ cp2022_L18_cma2022_L20E_0.pdf
- L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, G. Krinner, A. Mukherji, P. Thorne, C. Trisos, J. Romero, P. Aldunce, K. Barrett, G. Blanco, W. W. L. Cheung, S. L. Connors, F. Denton, A. Diongue-Niang, D. Dodman, M. Garschagen, O. Geden, B. Hayward, C. Jones, F. Jotzo, T. Krug, R. Lasco, J.Y. Lee, V. Masson-Delmotte, M. Meinshausen, K. Mintenbeck, A. Mokssit, F. E. L. Otto, M. Pathak, A. Pirani, E. Poloczanska, H.O. Pörtner, A. Revi, D. C. Roberts, J. Roy, A. C. Ruane, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, R. Slade, A. Slangen, Y. Sokona, A. A. Sörensson, M. Tignor, D. van Vuuren, Y.M. Wei, H. Winkler, P. Zhai, Z. Zommers. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) Longer Report. IPCC, 2023. pg. 28
- 3. Richards, Julie-Anne, David Hillman, and Laurey Boughey. "The Climate Damages Tax: A guides to what it is and how it works." Stamp Out Poverty, December 2018. https://www.stampoutpoverty.org/live2019/wpcontent/uploads/2019/06/CDT_guide_web23.pdf
- Emissions Gap Report 2022: The Closing Window- Climate crisis calls for rapid transformation of societies. United Nations Environmental Programme, 2022. https://www.unep.org/emissions-gap-report-2022.
- Guterres, António "Secretary-General's Address to the General Assembly Secretary-General," United Nations (United Nations, September 20, 2022), https://www.un.org/sg/en/content/sg/speeches/2022-09-20/secretary-generals-address-the-general-assembly.
- "Exploitation: Oil Giants Set Record Profits While Taking Advantage of Inflation and the Crisis in Ukraine—The American People Pay the Price." Accountable US, March 2022.
- 7. The Paris Agreement. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 29 November 2018, P 1
- 8. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) – Longer Report. IPCC, 2023. pg. 28
- L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, G. Krinner, A. Mukherji, P. Thorne, C. Trisos, J. Romero, P. Aldunce, K. Barrett, G. Blanco, W. W. L. Cheung, S. L. Connors, F. Denton, A. Diongue-Niang, D. Dodman, M. Garschagen, O. Geden, B. Hayward, C. Jones, F. Jotzo, T. Krug, R. Lasco, J.Y. Lee, V. Masson-Delmotte, M. Meinshausen, K. Mintenbeck, A. Mokssit, F. E. L. Otto, M. Pathak, A. Pirani, E. Poloczanska, H.O. Pörtner, A. Revi, D. C. Roberts, J. Roy, A. C. Ruane, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, R. Slade, A. Slangen, Y. Sokona, A. A. Sörensson, M. Tignor, D. van Vuuren, Y.M. Wei, H. Winkler, P. Zhai, Z. Zommers. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) Summary for Policy Makers. IPCC, 2023, pg. 11
- 10. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) Longer Report. IPCC, 2023. pg. 79
- 11. International Energy Agency, "World Energy Outlook 2022," IEA (International Energy Agency, October 2022), https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2022.
- Parry, Ian, Simon Black, and Nate Vernon. Still Not Getting Energy Prices Right: A Global and Country Update of Fossil Fuel Subsidies, WP/21/236, International Monetary fund, 24 September 2021.
- 13. Fedelino, A., Basdevant, O., Basile, G., and Tchaidze, R.," If Not Now, When? Energy Price Reform in Arab Countries." IMF Policy Papers. International Monetary Fund. 2017.
- 14. IMF, "Fiscal Policies for Paris Climate Strategies—From Principle to Practice." IMF (International Monetary Fund, Policy Paper No. 19/010, 1 May 2019), https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Policy-Papers/lssues/2019/05/01/Fiscal-Policies-for-Paris-Climate-Strategies-from-Principle-to-Practice-46826, and Stiglitz, J.E., and Stern, N, "Report of the High-Level Commission on Carbon Prices." World Bank. 2017.
- 15. International Energy Agency, "World Energy Outlook 2022," IEA (IEA, Oct. 2022)

- 16. GSI, "Raising Ambition Through Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Results Modelling from 26 Countries." International Institute for Sustainable Development. 2019.
- 17. Arze del Granada, Javier, David Coady, and Robert Gillingham. "The Unequal Benefits of Fuel Subsidies: A Review of Evidence for Developing Countries." IMF WP/10/202, 2010.
- 18. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) - Longer Report. IPCC, 2023. pg. 9
- 19. Guillaume Chabert, Martin Cerisola, and Dalia Hakura, "Restructuring Debt of Poorer Nations Requires More Efficient Coordination," IMF (International Monetary Fund, April 7, 2022), https://www.imf.org/en/ Blogs/Articles/2022/04/07/restructuring-debt-of-poorer-nationsrequires-more-efficient-coordination.
- 20. Woolfenden, Tess, and Dr. Sindra Sharma Khushal. "The debt and climate crises: Why climate justice must include debt justice." CAN Network, October 2022
- 21. Dennis Shen, Thibault Vasse, and Giacomo Barisone, "Announcements," Scope Ratings -- European Rating Agency (Bloomberg, September 3, 2020), https://www.scoperatings.com/.
- 22. IPCC, 2022: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley, (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA. doi: 10.1017/9781009157926.001, pg. 48
- 23. "Financing Options for Loss and Damage: a Review and Roadmap," Climate and Development Lab. Brown University, International Centre for Climate Change and Development, 2016.
- 24. UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights and Environment, Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Issue of Human Rights Obligations relating to the Enjoyment of a Safe, Clean, Healthy and Sustainable Environment, July 15, 2020, UN Doc. A/74/161.
- 25. Fleming, Gregg G., and Urs Ziegler, "ICAO Environmental Report: Environmental Trends in Aviation to 2050." International Civil Aviation Organization, 2016
- 26. Abeysinghe, Achala and Muyeye Chambwera, "International Air Passenger Adaptation Levy: PCCB/ecbi Seminar on Innovative Sources for Multilateral Climate Finance, COP24, Katowice." European Capacity Building Initiative, 8 December 2018.
- 27. Fleming and Ziegler, "Environmental Trends in Aviation to 2050." ICAO,
- 28. Hepburn, C., and Müller, B. "International air travel and greenhouse gas emissions: A proposal for an adaptation levy." The World Economy, v.33, 46. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC 2010, p.830-849.
- 29. L. Pentelow and D. Scott. 2010. "The Implications of Climate Change Mitigation Policy and Oil Price Volatility for Tourism Arrivals to the Caribbean." Tourism and Hospitality Planning & Development, 7:3, 2010, 301-
- 30. Chambwera, M., Evans D. N., and Loga, D. 2012. "The International Air Passenger Adaptation Levy: Opportunity or risk for least developed countries?" Int'l Institute for Environment and Development, 2012.
- 31. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) - Summary for Policy Makers. IPCC, 2023, pg. 33
- 32. UN. "Report of the High-level Group on Climate Change Financing." United Nations, 5 November 2010. https://www.cbd.int/financial/ interdevinno/un-climate-report.pdf
- 33. Melodia, Lauren and Kristina Karlsson, "Energy Price Stability: The Peril of Fossil Fuels and the Promise of Renewables." Roosevelt Institute, May
- 34. Griffith-Jones, Stephany, and Avinash Persaud, "Financial Transaction Taxes," Initiative for Policy Dialogue, Columbia University, 2012 and De Long, J. Bradford, A. Scleifer, L.H. Summers, and R. Waldman. "Positive feedback investment strategies and destabilizing rational speculation," Journal of Finance, June 1990.
- 35. Griffith-Jones and Persaud, "Financial Transaction Taxes," Columbia University, 2012
- 36. L., Hoesung, K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, et al. Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) – Summary for Policy Makers. IPCC, 2023,
- 37. IMF, "Special Drawing Rights (SDR)," IMF (International Monetary Fund, July 29, 2022), https://www.imf.org/en/About/Factsheets/ Sheets/2016/08/01/14/51/Special-Drawing-Right-SDR.

- 38. IMF, "Crisis Upon Crisis: IMF Annual Report 2022" (International Monetary Fund, August 1, 2022), https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/ ar/2022/in-focus/a-more-equitable-recovery/
- 39. Action Aid. "Using Special Drawing Rights for Climate Finance." Act Alliance, February 2010, and Roberts J Timmons et al., "Financing Options for Loss and Damage: a Review and Roadmap," Climate and Development Lab, Brown University, International Centre for Climate Change and Development, 2016.
- 40. U.S Department of Treasury, "G7 Finance Ministers & Central Bank Governors Communiqué," U.S. Department of the Treasury (The Department of Treasury, June 9, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/news/pressreleases/jy0215.
- 41. Lecocq, F., H. Winkler, J.P. Daka, S. Fu, J.S. Gerber, S. Kartha, V. Krey, H. Lofgren, T. Masui, R. Mathur, J. Portugal-Pereira, B. K. Sovacool, M. V. Vilariño, N. Zhou, 2022: Mitigation and development pathways in the near- to mid-term. In IPCC, 2022: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley, (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA. doi: 10.1017/9781009157926.006, pg. 463
- 42. Lucie Beraud-Sudreau et al., "Trends in World Military Expenditure, 2021," Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, April 2022) https:// www.sipri.org/publications/2022/sipri-fact-sheets/trends-world-military -expenditure-2021.
- 43. OECD, Aggregate trends of Climate Finance Provided and Mobilised by Developed Countries in 2013-2020. OECD, 2022, https://www.oecd.org/ climate-change/finance-usd-100-billion-goals.
- 44. Press Release Security Council, "Climate Change 'Biggest Threat Modern Humans Have Ever Faced', World-Renowned Naturalist Tells Security Council, Calls for Greater Global Cooperation | UN Press," United Nations (United Nations, February 23, 2022), https://press.un.org/ en/2021/sc14445.doc.htm.
- 45. IPCC, eds. H. -O Portner, D.C Roberts, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, M. Tignor, A. Alegria, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Loschke, V. Moller, A. Okem. Summary for Policymakers, In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, doi: 10.1017/978100932584401
- Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) Longer Report. IPCC, 2023. pg. 10
- 47. Christensen, Martin-Brehm, Christian Hallum, Alex Maitland, Quentin Parrinello, Chiara Putaturo, Dana Abed, Carlos Brown, Anthony Kamande, Max Lawson, and Susana Ruiz. "Survival of the Richest." Oxfam International, January 16, 2023.
- 48. Christensen, Hallum, Maitland, et al. "Survival of the Richest." Oxfam International, January 16, 2023. Three
- 49. Gore, Tim. "Confronting Carbon Inequality: Putting Climate Justice at the Heart of the COVID-19 Recovery." Oxfam, September 21, 2020.
- 50. Barros, Beatriz, and Richard Wilk. "The Outsized Carbon Footprints of the Super-Rich." Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy 17, no. 1 (2021): 316-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2021.1949847.
- 51. Dabi, Nafkote, Alex Maitland, Max Lawson, Hilde Stroot, Alexandre Poidatz, and Ashfaq Khalfan. "Carbon Billionaires: The Investment Emissions of the World's Richest People," Oxfam, 2022. https:// doi.org/10.21201/2022.9684. Seven
- 52. Dabi, Maitland, Lawson, et al. "Carbon Billionaires: The Investment Emissions of the World's Richest People," Oxfam, 2022. https:// doi.org/10.21201/2022.9684.
- 53. Christensen, Hallum, Maitland, et al. "Survival of the Richest." Oxfam International, January 16, 2023.
- 54. Christensen, Hallum, Maitland, et al. "Survival of the Richest." Oxfam International, January 16, 2023.